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An asymptotic scheme is derived for calculating values of the 'reflected'Stokeslet-field velocity dyadic $\boldsymbol{V}$ and its gradient $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{V}$ back at the Stokeslet location for situations in which this singular point lies in close proximity to the wall of an infinitely long circular cylinder. The asymptotic formulas furnished by this scheme permit calculations of first- and second-order wall effects in the non-dimensional parameter $\kappa=a / R_{0}$ ( $a \equiv$ characteristic particle radius, $R_{0} \equiv$ cylinder radius) upon the Stokes resistance of a particle of arbitrary shape, location and orientation when translating and/or rotating near the wall of an otherwise quiescent fluid-filled or fluid-surrounded circular cylinder. This reflection-type calculation is applicable for circumstances in which the inequalities $\kappa \ll 1$ and $1-\beta \ll 1$ are each separately satisfied, while simultaneously $\kappa /(1-\beta) \ll 1$. (Here $\beta=b / R_{0}$ is the fractionally eccentric Stokeslet location, or equivalently the centre of reaction of the particle, with $b$ its distance from the tube axis.) The main result of this paper is the development of the pair of asymptotic wall-correction formulas

$$
W_{j k} \sim{ }_{0} C_{j k}(1-\beta)^{-1}+{ }_{1} C_{j k}+{ }_{2} C_{j k}(1-\beta)+O(1-\beta)^{2}
$$

and

$$
W_{j k, l} \sim{ }_{0} D_{j k l}(1-\beta)^{-2}+{ }_{1} D_{j k l}(1-\beta)^{-1}+{ }_{2} D_{j k l}+O(1-\beta)
$$

to particle resistance, with $\boldsymbol{W} \equiv W_{j k}$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{W} \equiv W_{k l, j}$ respectively the non-dimensional normalized wall-effect dyadic and its gradient at the Stokeslet location $\beta$. The numerical, rational fraction, $\beta$-independent, ${ }_{n} C_{j k}$ and ${ }_{n} D_{j k l}$ coefficients ( $n=0,1,2$ ) appearing above are evaluated by solving a recursive sequence of Stokes-flow boundary-value problems in the semi-infinite fluid domain bounded by a plane wall. These simple asymptotic formulas are shown to agree excellently in the range near $\beta=1$ with existing values derived from the exact solution of the original circularcylinder boundary-value problem, involving tedious infinite-series summations of complicated Bessel-function integrands extended over infinite integration domains. Generalizations of the scheme to particle motion in the space external to a circular cylinder is briefly sketched, as too is the case of cylinders of non-circular cross-section.

## 1. Introduction

Cox \& Brenner (1967) demonstrated that the hydrodynamic force $F$ and torque $\boldsymbol{G}_{0}$ (about some arbitrary point $O$ fixed in the particle) exerted on a particle moving in proximity to a wall bounding an otherwise quiescent Stokes flow may be expressed correctly to any order in $\kappa$ by a sum of tensor products of various members of sets
of respective material particle and wall tensors, scalarly multiplied by the translational and angular velocities $\boldsymbol{U}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ of the particle. For a prescribed particle, the material particle tensors (which are constant relative to body-fixed axes locked into the particle) depend solely upon the particle's geometric properties - namely its size a and shape, as well as upon the explicit location of $O$ within the particle. Likewise, the material wall tensors (which are constant relative to space-fixed axes fixed in the tube walls) depend solely upon the tube radius $R_{0}$ and the lateral distance $b$ of $O$ from the tube axis.

Hirschfeld, Brenner \& Falade (1984) demonstrated that the material wall tensors required to derive all terms in the expansions of $F$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_{0}$, up to and including terms $O\left(\kappa^{2}\right)$, could be obtained entirely from knowledge of the fundamental solution of the quasistatic Stokes equations for an arbitrarily oriented Stokeslet in the tube positioned at $O$, the latter representing the instantaneous position of, say, the centre of reaction of the moving particle. Evaluation of the requisite velocity dyadics and their gradients necessitates summing an infinite series of infinite integrals (whose integrands entail lengthy arguments of modified Bessel functions). Divergence problems near $\beta=1$ lead to obvious computational difficulties when the particle centre approaches too closely to the tube wall. Yet the region $\beta \rightarrow 1$ is very important hydrodynamically, since wall effects upon the hydrodynamic force and torque are largest in this region.

It has long been established (Happel \& Brenner 1965; Hirschfeld 1972; Bungay \& Brenner $1973 a$ ) that as $\beta \rightarrow 1$ the values of the material wall tensors for a circular cylinder approach those for a plane wall tangent to the cylinder surface. More precisely, each plane-wall tensor represents the leading term of an asymptotic expansion of its cylinderical counterpart for $|1-\beta| \ll 1$. In this paper we provide the next two higher-order terms in these expansions by employing the regular perturbation scheme initiated by Bungay \& Brenner (1973a). Calculation of these asymptotic terms involves solving a sequence of half-space Stokes-flow boundaryvalue problems, satisfying prescribed boundary conditions on a plane wall. The appropriate boundary conditions at a given order of the perturbation scheme derive recursively from knowledge of lower-order perturbation fields in the sequence.

The initial problem of the sequence, which also yields the lowest-order asymptotic term, is that of determining the plane-wall Stokeslet-field velocity dyadic. Beginning with the original work of Lorentz (1896), this problem has been treated in a variety of contexts by Maude (1963), Berker (1963), Wakiya, Darabaner \& Mason (1967), Hirschfeld (1972), Aderogba (1977) and Hasimoto \& Sano (1980). Subsequent coefficients in the asymptotic expansion derive recursively, as previously indicated. Solution of the necessary Stokes-flow plane-wall boundary-value problems is effected by constructing a representation involving 'Papkovitch-Neuber' potentials (in the manner of Aderogba 1977; Falade 1982), which potentials are then used to obtain the desired particular solutions from the general solution of this class of Stokes-flow problems.

The regular perturbation scheme and resulting sequence of perturbation equations are presented in §2. In §3 we describe the method of solution of these perturbation equations, and calculate from them the ${ }_{n} C_{j k}$ and ${ }_{n} D_{j k l}$ wall-effect tensor coefficients. In the range $0.90 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 0.99$ numerical values furnished by these asymptotic expansions are compared in $\S 4$ with the extremely accurate results tabulated by Hirschfeld et al. (1984). Excellent agreement is obtained. Generalization to cylinders of other cross-sectional configurations is also briefly discussed in §4, as too is application of the asymptotic analysis to the region external to a cylinder.


Figure 1. Stokeslet singularity $O$ within a circular tube of radius $R_{0}$. With ( $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}$ ) a set of Cartesian axes originating along the tube axis (at $Q^{\prime}$ ) the singularity lies at ( $b, 0,0$ ). A second set of (dimensionless) Cartesian axes ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ ), parallel to the first, originates at the wall (at $Q$ ) such that $Q^{\prime}, O$ and $Q$ all lie along the same line. In this non-dimensional system the location of the singularity is at ( $-\gamma, 0,0$ ), where the (assumed) small parameter $\gamma=\left(R_{0}-b\right) / R_{0} \ll 1$ represents the fractional distance of the Stokeslet from the wall.

Results presented in this paper are limited to situations in which the particle centre is relatively distant from the wall compared with the particle size - namely $a /\left(R_{0}-b\right) \ll 1$. Equivalently, $\kappa /(1-\beta) \ll 1$. In contrast, Bungay \& Brenner (1973b) treat the case of a sphere of radius $a$ for which $\kappa /(1-\beta)=O(1)$ (with $\kappa \ll 1$ and $1-\beta \ll 1$ ), though in a slightly different physical context from that considered herein. Finally, we note that Tözeren (1982) employs a regular perturbation technique for estimating the torque on a sphere of arbitrary size that is translating and rotating at a slightly off-axis location in the cylinder interior.

## 2. Formulation

Consider an arbitrarily oriented Stokeslet $\boldsymbol{F}$ positioned at ( $b, 0,0$ ) (hereinafter designated as point $O$ ) relative to a right-handed Cartesian coordinate system ( $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}$ ) with origin $Q^{\prime}$ lying along the axis of a circular cylinder of radius $R_{0}$, as in figure 1 . Let $b$ and $R_{0}$ be such that the ratio $\beta=b / R_{0}$ is of order unity. Velocity and pressure fields ( $v, p$ ) at an arbitrary point within the fluid-filled cylinder are assumed to satisfy Stokes' equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu \nabla^{\prime 2} v-\nabla^{\prime} p+F \delta^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=0  \tag{2.1a}\\
\nabla^{\prime} \cdot v=0 \tag{2.1b}
\end{gather*}
$$

along with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
v=0 \quad \text { on } x_{1}^{\prime 2}+x_{2}^{\prime 2}=R_{0}^{2}  \tag{2.2a}\\
v \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }\left|x_{3}^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.2b}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

Here the (dimensional) Dirac delta function $\delta^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{V^{\prime}} \delta^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x_{3}^{\prime}=1
$$

where region $V^{\prime}$ includes the Stokeslet. Position vector $r^{\prime}$ originates at the singularity $(b, 0,0)$, i.e.

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right|=\left\{\left(x_{1}^{\prime}-b\right)^{2}+x_{2}^{\prime 2}+x_{3}^{\prime 2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

In place of the previous coordinate system it is convenient to introduce a stretched non-dimensional right-handed Cartesian coordinate system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}-R_{0}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}\right) / \gamma R_{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in which $\gamma=1-\beta$ ), with origin $Q$ situated at the wall such that $Q^{\prime}, O$ and $Q$ all lie along the same radius vector (see figure 1). In this new coordinate system the Stokeslet is situated at the point ( $-1,0,0$ ). Inasmuch as the original Cartesian system spans the range

$$
-R_{0} \leqslant x_{1}^{\prime} \leqslant \boldsymbol{R}_{0}, \quad-\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \leqslant x_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant R_{0}
$$

along with $-\infty<x_{3}^{\prime}<\infty$, the current non-dimensional system spans the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{2}{\gamma} \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant 0, \quad-\frac{1}{\gamma} \leqslant x_{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\gamma}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in addition to $-\infty<x_{3}<\infty$. Moreover, in the current system the surface of the circular cylinder is described by the two branches

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=-\left\{1 \pm\left(1-\gamma^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} / \gamma \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the generating equation $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$.
In terms of these new coordinates, the original system of equations (2.1) and (2.2) may be rendered parameter-free (except for the parameter $\gamma$ ) by defining dimensionless dyadic 'velocity' and vector 'pressure' fields ( $V, P$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\left(\gamma R_{0} \mu\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}, \quad p=\left(\gamma R_{0}\right)^{-2} \boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \tag{2.6a,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute (2.3) and (2.6) into (2.1) and (2.2) and use the fact that $F$ is an arbitrary vector to obtain the system of dimensionless equations

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\nabla^{2} \boldsymbol{V}-\boldsymbol{\nabla} P+\boldsymbol{I} \delta(\boldsymbol{r})=\mathbf{0}, \\
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}=\mathbf{0}, \\
\boldsymbol{V}=\mathbf{0} & \text { on } x_{1}=-\left\{1-\left(1-\gamma^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} / \gamma, \\
\boldsymbol{V}=\mathbf{0} & \text { on } x_{1}=-\left\{1+\left(1-\gamma^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} / \gamma, \\
& \boldsymbol{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \quad \text { as }\left|x_{3}\right| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.8b}
\end{array}
$$

governing the essentially purely geometric fields ( $\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{P}$ ). Only the scalar parameter $\gamma$ appears in this system. Here $\boldsymbol{I}$ is the dyadic idemfactor, while $\boldsymbol{r}$ emanates from the singularity $(-1,0,0)$; explicitly, $|r|=\left\{\left(x_{1}+1\right)^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Henceforth all reference to points and surfaces will be made relative to the ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-system. Note that the non-dimensional Dirac delta function appearing in (2.7a) is defined such that

$$
\int_{V} \delta(r) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{3}=1
$$

for $r$ included in the volumetric domain $V$.

### 2.1. Perturbation equations

Equations (2.7)-(2.8) constitute the exact system of differential equations and boundary conditions governing the fluid motion created by the presence of the Stokeslet in the tube. What follows is an asymptotic solution for the small-parameter case $\gamma \ll 1$.

### 2.1.1. Expansions for $\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{P}$

Subject to a posteriori verification, we assume the validity of the power-series expansions
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{V}={ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}+\gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{V}+\gamma^{2}{ }_{2} \boldsymbol{V}+\gamma_{3}^{3} \boldsymbol{V}+\ldots,  \tag{2.9}\\
& \boldsymbol{P}={ }_{0} \boldsymbol{P}+\gamma_{1} P+\gamma_{2}^{2} P+\gamma_{3}^{3} P+\ldots, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

wherein the perturbation fields $\left({ }_{n} V,{ }_{n} P\right)(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ are each independent of $\gamma$.

### 2.1.2. Transfer of boundary conditions

Binomial expansions for small $\gamma$ of the two branches (2.5) of the equation describing the cylinder wall surface yield respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \sim-\frac{1}{2} \gamma x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{8} \gamma^{3} x_{2}^{4}-\frac{1}{16} \gamma^{5} x_{2}^{6}-\frac{5}{128} \gamma^{7} x_{2}^{8}+\ldots \tag{2.11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \sim-2 / \gamma+O(\gamma) \tag{2.11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ the first of these becomes the plane $x_{1}=0$, whereas the second becomes the region $x_{1} \rightarrow-\infty$ infinitely distant from this plane. In this same limit the domain (2.4) spanned by $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ covers the semi-infinite region $-\infty<x_{1}<0$ and $-\infty<x_{2}<\infty$. As a consequence of these facts, in the limit $\gamma \ll 1$ the boundary condition (2.8 $a_{2}$ ) in conjunction with (2.9) requires that, for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n} \boldsymbol{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \text { as } x_{1} \rightarrow-\infty . \tag{2.12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the boundary condition ( $2.8 a_{1}$ ) may be transferred to the plane $x_{1}=0$ by expanding $\boldsymbol{V}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ at the cylinder surface in a Taylor series about $x_{1}=0$ using (2.11a). With use of (2.9), this leads to replacement of (2.8a $)$ by the requirement that (in Cartesian tensor comma notation for derivatives)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{0}= & { }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\gamma\left[{ }_{1} \boldsymbol{V}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}{ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}, 1\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] \\
& +\gamma^{2}\left[{ }_{2} \boldsymbol{V}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2} x_{21}^{2} \boldsymbol{V}, 1\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{8} x_{2}^{4}{ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V},{ }_{11}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right] \\
& +\gamma^{3}{ }_{[3} \boldsymbol{V}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}{ }_{2} \boldsymbol{V}, 1\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{8} x_{21}^{4} V_{, 11}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{48} x_{20}^{6}{ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V},{ }_{111}\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right]+\ldots . \tag{2.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2.12a,b) provide a satisfactory asymptotic approximation of the exact boundary conditions ( $2.8 a_{1}, a_{2}$ ) for circumstances in which $\gamma=1-\beta \ll 1$; that is, where the Stokeslet is very near to the wall compared with the tube radius.

We substitute (2.9), (2.10) and (2.12) into (2.7) and (2.8), collect together terms of like order in $\gamma$, and equate to zero each such resulting set of terms. This procedure yields the following sequential sets of differential equations and boundary conditions to be solved in the semi-infinite region $-\infty<x_{1}<0,-\infty<x_{2}<\infty$, $-\infty<x_{3}<\infty$ :
zeroth-order equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{0}^{2} V-\nabla_{0} P+I \delta(r)=0, \quad \nabla \cdot{ }_{0} V=0,  \tag{2.13a,b}\\
{ }_{0} V=0 \quad \text { on } x_{1}=0, \quad{ }_{0} V \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \mathscr{R} \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.14a,b}
\end{gather*}
$$

first-order equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{1}^{2}{ }_{1} \boldsymbol{V}-\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1} \boldsymbol{P}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot{ }_{1} \boldsymbol{V}=\mathbf{0},  \tag{2.15a,b}\\
{ }_{1} \boldsymbol{V}=\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}{ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}, 1 \quad \text { on } x_{1}=0, \quad, \quad \boldsymbol{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \quad \text { as } \mathscr{R} \rightarrow \infty ; \tag{2.16a,b}
\end{gather*}
$$

second-order equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{2}^{2} V-\nabla_{2} P=0, \quad \nabla \cdot{ }_{2} V=0,  \tag{2.17a,b}\\
{ }_{2} V=\frac{1}{2} x_{21}^{2} V_{, 1}+\frac{1}{8} x_{2}^{4} V_{, 11} \quad \text { on } x_{1}=0,{ }_{2} \boldsymbol{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \quad \text { as } \mathscr{R} \rightarrow \infty ; \tag{2.18a,b}
\end{gather*}
$$

third-order equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{3}^{2} \boldsymbol{V}-\nabla_{3} P=0, \quad \nabla \cdot{ }_{3} V=0, \\
\left.{ }_{3} \boldsymbol{V}=\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2} V_{, 1}+\frac{1}{8} x_{21}^{4} V_{, 11}+\frac{1}{48} x_{20}^{6} V_{, 111} \quad \text { on } x_{1}=0, \quad{ }_{3} \boldsymbol{V} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \mathscr{R} \rightarrow \infty .19 a, b\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the preceding, $\mathscr{R}$ simultaneously denotes both a hemispherical region and its radius, centred at the origin $Q$ of the ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$-coordinate system, and lying in the semi-infinite region occupied by the fluid. In the limit where the radius $\mathscr{R}$ tends to infinity, and to dominant terms in $\gamma$, the origin of this hemispherical region may, when convenient, be chosen to be at the singularity $O$ rather than at $Q$.

The zeroth-order equations (2.13) and (2.14) may be further delineated into two companion sets. One set defines the fundamental unbounded Stokeslet field ( ${ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}^{0}{ }_{0}{ }_{0} \boldsymbol{P}^{0}$ ), satisfying (2.13) and (2.14b), but not (2.14a). The other defines the 'reflection' ${ }_{0} V^{1}$, ${ }_{0} \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ ) of this fundamental field from the plane $x_{1}=0$ - corresponding to the solution of the system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{2}{ }_{0} V^{1}-\nabla_{0} P^{1}=0, \quad \nabla \cdot{ }_{0} V^{1}=0,  \tag{2.21a,b}\\
{ }_{0} V^{1}=-{ }_{0} V^{0} \quad \text { on } x_{1}=0, \quad{ }_{0} V^{1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \mathscr{R} \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.22a,b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus the complete reflected fields $\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{\mathrm{R}}, \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ of the singularity may be expressed as the sums
and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{V}_{\mathrm{R}}={ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}^{1}+\gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{V}+\gamma_{2}^{2} \boldsymbol{V}+\ldots  \tag{2.23}\\
\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{R}}={ }_{0} \boldsymbol{P}^{1}+\gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{P}+\gamma^{2}{ }_{2} \boldsymbol{P}+\ldots \tag{2.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Solutions

A general solution of the pair of differential equations

$$
\nabla^{2} \boldsymbol{V}-\nabla P=0, \quad \nabla \cdot V=0
$$

in terms of Papkovitch-Neuber potential functions is (Aderogba 1977)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 V_{j k}=\left(\psi_{0 k}+x_{l} \psi_{l k}\right)_{, j}-2 \psi_{j k}, \quad P_{k}=\psi_{l k, l} \tag{3.1a,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\psi_{0 k}$ and $\psi_{l k}(k, l=1,2,3)$ are respectively harmonic vector and dyadic fields; that is, each satisfies Laplace's equation. Cartesian tensor fields ( $V_{j k}, P_{k}$ ) take their definitions from the relations (summation convention on repeated indices)
or equivalently

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
V=i_{j} i_{k} V_{j k}, & P=i_{k} P_{k} \\
V_{j k}=i_{k} i_{j}: V, & P_{k}=i_{k} \cdot P \tag{3.3a,b}
\end{array}
$$

Multiple dot products are to be performed in the order prescribed by the nesting convention (Chapman \& Cowling 1970). Here $\boldsymbol{i}_{k}(k=1,2,3)$ is a unit vector parallel to the $x_{k}$ direction. Relations similar to (3.2) and (3.3) may be written for the velocity-gradient dyadic and its components, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla V=i_{l} i_{j} i_{k} V_{j k, l} \quad\left(V_{j k, l}=i_{k} i_{j} i_{j}(\cdot)^{3} \nabla V\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot)^{3}$ denotes a triple dot product. To proceed from (3.1) to the unique solutions of (2.15)-(2.22) requires constructing potential functions $\psi_{0 k}$ and $\psi_{j k}$ possessing forms necessary for satisfaction of the prescribed boundary conditions. The following theorems prove useful in constructing such potential functions.
(i) If $\Phi$ is a biharmonic function, then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=2 \Phi-x_{1} \int \nabla^{2} \Phi \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is harmonic.
(ii) If $\phi$ is harmonic then $x_{k} \phi$ is biharmonic. This together with (3.5) leads to the conclusion that the function

$$
\theta=x_{k} \phi-x_{1} \int \phi_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \quad(k \neq 1)
$$

is also harmonic.
(iii) The biharmonicity of $\Phi$ implies the harmonicity of functions $X$ and $Y$ defined (in a hybrid Cartesian-tensor notation) by the relations

$$
X=2 x_{k} \Phi-x_{k} x_{1} \int \nabla^{2} \Phi \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-2 x_{1} \int \Phi_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+x_{1}^{2} \int^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \Phi\right)_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-x_{1} \int^{3}\left(\nabla^{2} \Phi\right)_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y= & 2 x_{k}^{2} \Phi-x_{k}^{2} x_{1} \int \nabla^{2} \Phi \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-4 x_{k} x_{1} \int \Phi, k \\
& +2 x_{k} x_{1}^{2} \int^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \Phi x_{1}-2 x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+x_{1}^{2} \int^{2} \nabla^{2} \Phi \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+2 x_{1}^{2} \int^{2} \Phi \Phi_{, k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right. \\
& -2 x_{1} x_{k} \int^{3}\left(\nabla^{2} \Phi\right)_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-2 x_{1} \int^{3} \Phi_{, k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-x_{1} \int^{3} \nabla^{2} \Phi \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \\
& -x_{1}^{3} \int^{3}\left(\nabla^{2} \Phi\right)_{, k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+3 x_{1}^{2} \int^{4}\left(\nabla^{2} \Phi\right)_{, k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-3 x_{1} \int^{5}\left(\nabla^{2} \Phi\right)_{, k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(no sum on $k$ ).
Here and throughout the paper, all constants and functions of integration are to be suppressed when evaluating the integrals appearing in these expressions. Notationally, $\int^{n}() \mathrm{d} x_{1}$ denotes the $n$-tuple integral with respect to $x_{1}$; explicitly,

$$
\int^{n}() \mathrm{d} x_{1}=\underbrace{\iint \cdots \int}_{n \text { times }}() \underbrace{\mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{1}}_{n \text { times }} .
$$

The only departure from this convention occurs for the case of a single integral, which retains its customary representation $\int() \mathrm{d} x_{1}$.
(iv) If $\phi$ is harmonic, so also is

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z= & x_{k}^{3} \phi-3 x_{k}^{2} x_{1} \int \phi_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-3 x_{k} x_{1} \int \phi \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+3 x_{k} x_{1}^{2} \int^{2} \phi_{, k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \\
& +3 x_{1}^{2} \int^{2} \phi_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-3 x_{k} x_{1} \int^{3} \phi_{, k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-3 x_{1} \int^{3} \phi_{, k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \\
& -x_{1}^{3} \int \phi_{, k k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+3 x_{1}^{2} \int^{4} \phi_{, k k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-3 x_{1} \int^{5} \phi_{, k k k} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \quad \text { (no sum on } k \text { ). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two of these theorems were enunciated by Aderogba \& Chou (1984), while the remaining two may be deduced by the repeated application of the former.

These theorems provide the Ansatz for solving (2.13)-(2.22). Solutions for $V_{j 1}, V_{j 2}$ and $V_{j 3}$ will be presented separately. To facilitate comparison of our results with those of Hirschfeld et al. (1984), we repeat here the definition of their normalized nondimensional wall-effect velocity tensors, namely

$$
{ }_{j} W_{k l}=-6 \pi_{j} V_{k l}(-1,0,0), \quad{ }_{j} W_{k l, m}=-6 \pi_{j} V_{k l, m}(-1,0,0) .
$$

The argument ( $-1,0,0$ ) denotes evaluation at the Stokeslet position.

### 3.1. Solutions for $V_{j 1}$

### 3.1.1. Zeroth-order field of $V_{j 1}$

The unbounded flow field $\left({ }_{0} V^{0},{ }_{0} P^{0}\right)$ generated by a Stokeslet of unit strength located at ( $-1,0,0$ ) and oriented in the $x_{1}$ direction is well known (Happel \& Brenner 1965 ; Aderogba 1977). This field is generated from (3.1) by choosing the potential functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{01}=\frac{\alpha}{R}, \quad \psi_{j_{1}}=\frac{\delta_{j 1} \alpha}{R} \quad(j=1,2,3) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\delta_{l m}$ the Kronecker delta, $R=\left\{\left(x_{1}+1\right)^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\alpha=-(4 \pi)^{-1}$. In turn, the reflection (2.21) and (2.22) of this field from the plane $x_{1}=0$ can be obtained by the following choices for $\psi_{01}$ and $\psi_{m 1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{01}=-\frac{\alpha}{R^{\prime}}, \quad \psi_{j 1}=-\delta_{j 1} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{R^{\prime}}+2 R_{, 1}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x_{1}-1\right)^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
We substitute (3.7) into (3.1) and evaluate the resulting wall-effect dyadic ${ }_{0} W_{j 1}$ and its gradient at $(-1,0,0)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} W_{11}^{1}=\frac{9}{8}, \quad{ }_{0} W_{11,1}^{1}=\frac{9}{16}, \quad{ }_{0} W_{21,2}^{1}={ }_{0} W_{31,3}=-\frac{9}{32} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

All other components of ${ }_{0} W_{j 1}^{1}$ and ${ }_{0} W_{j 1, l}$ are zero at ( $-1,0,0$ ).
On the plane $x_{1}=0$ the combined zeroth-order fields ${ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}={ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}^{0}+{ }_{0} \boldsymbol{V}^{1}$ provide the following velocity-gradient distribution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} V_{11,1}=0, \quad{ }_{0} V_{21,1}=\frac{6 x_{2} \alpha}{\bar{R}^{5}}, \quad{ }_{0} V_{31,1}=\frac{6 x_{3} \alpha}{\bar{R}^{5}}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{R}=\left(1+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

### 3.1.2. First-order field of $V_{j 1}$

The potential functions satisfying the prescribed boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} V_{11}=0, \quad{ }_{1} V_{21}=\frac{3 \alpha x_{2}^{3}}{\bar{R}^{5}}, \quad{ }_{1} V_{31}=\frac{3 x_{2}^{2} x_{3}}{\bar{R}^{5}} \quad \text { on } x_{1}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

deriving from (2.16a) and (3.9) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{01}=\psi_{31}=0, \quad \psi_{21}=\frac{-2 \alpha x_{2}}{R^{\prime 3}}, \quad \psi_{11}=-\alpha\left[\left\{2 \theta_{2}-x_{1} \int \nabla^{2} \theta_{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right\}_{, 1}+\int \nabla^{2} \theta_{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{2}=\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{R^{\prime 3}}
$$

The wall-effect dyadic engendered by (3.11) has as its only non-zero component

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} W_{11}=\frac{9}{32} . \tag{3.12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

All components of ${ }_{1} W_{j 1, l}(j, l=1,2,3)$ vanish except for the pair $\dagger$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} W_{21,2}=\frac{9}{64}, \quad{ }_{1} W_{31,3}=-\frac{9}{64} . \tag{3.12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, evaluation at $x_{1}=0$ yields the velocity dyadic gradients

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} V_{11,1}=3 \alpha x_{2}^{2}\left(5 \bar{R}^{-7}-\bar{R}^{-5}\right), \quad{ }_{1} V_{21,1}=6 \alpha x_{2}\left(5 x_{2}^{2} \bar{R}^{-7}-\bar{R}^{-5}\right), \quad{ }_{1} V_{31,1}=30 \alpha x_{2}^{2} x_{3} \bar{R}^{-7} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.3. Second-order field of $V_{j 1}$

We substitute (3.13) and (3.9) into (2.18a) to obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{ }_{2} V_{11}=-\frac{3}{4} \alpha x_{2}^{4}\left(\bar{R}^{-5}+5 \bar{R}^{-7}\right), \quad{ }_{2} V_{21}=\frac{3}{4} \alpha x_{2}^{3}\left(4 \bar{R}^{-5}-15 x_{2}^{2} \bar{R}^{-7}\right),  \tag{3.14}\\
{ }_{2} V_{31}=-\frac{45}{4} \alpha x_{2}^{4} x_{3} \bar{R}^{-5}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

on $x_{1}=0$. Appropriate choices of potential functions ensuring satisfaction of (3.14) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{01}=\frac{3}{4} \alpha\left\{\frac{2 x_{2}^{4}}{R^{5}}-x_{2} x_{1} \int \nabla^{2} \theta_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-2 x_{1} \int \theta_{1,2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}+x_{1}^{2} \int^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \theta_{1}\right)_{, 2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-x_{1} \int^{3}\left(\nabla^{2} \theta_{1}\right)_{, 2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right\}  \tag{3.15a}\\
& \psi_{31}=0,  \tag{3.15b}\\
& \psi_{21}=-3 \alpha\left(2 \theta_{1}-x_{1} \int \nabla^{2} \theta_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right),  \tag{3.15c}\\
& \psi_{11}=-\alpha\left\{-\psi_{01}-2 \psi_{01,1}+\frac{9}{4}\left[2 \int \theta_{1,2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-x_{1} \int^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \theta_{1}\right)_{, 2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\quad-\frac{3}{4}\left[x_{2} \int \nabla^{2} \theta_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}-x_{1} \int^{2}\left(\nabla^{2} \theta_{1}\right)_{, 2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right]+\frac{9}{4} \int^{3}\left(\nabla^{2} \theta_{1}\right)_{, 2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}\right\}, \tag{3.15d}
\end{align*}
$$

$\dagger$ In arriving at (3.12) and other similar results, use has been made of the fact that at ( $-1,0,0$ )

$$
\int^{n}\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{-m} \mathrm{~d} x_{1}=\left\{(1-m)(2-m) \ldots(n-m) 2^{n-m}\right\}^{-1}(-1)^{n} \quad \text { for } m>n .
$$

where

$$
\theta_{1}=\frac{x_{2}^{3}}{R^{\prime 5}}
$$

We substitute (3.15) into (3.1) and evaluate the resulting velocity dyadic and its gradient at ( $-1,0,0$ ). This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} W_{11}=\frac{27}{256}, \quad{ }_{2} W_{11,1}=-\frac{27}{512}, \quad{ }_{2} W_{21,2}=\frac{51}{1024}, \quad{ }_{2} W_{31,3}=-\frac{99}{1024} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

All other components of ${ }_{2} W_{j 1}$ and ${ }_{2} W_{j 1, l}$ are zero.

### 3.2. Solutions for $V_{j 2}$

### 3.2.1. Zeroth-order field of $V_{j 2}$

The fundamental field ${ }_{0} V_{j 2}^{0}$ created by a Stokeslet at $(-1,0,0)$ oriented in the $x_{2}$ direction has as its generating potential functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{02}=0, \quad \psi_{j 2}=\frac{\delta_{j 2} \alpha}{R} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reflection of this field from the plane $x_{1}=0$ is described by the potential functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{02}=\psi_{32}=0, \quad \psi_{12}=\frac{-2 \alpha x_{2}}{R^{\prime 3}}, \quad \psi_{22}=\frac{-\alpha}{R^{\prime}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tensor constants $W_{j 2}$ and $W_{j 2, k}(j, k=1,2,3)$ associated with the reflected field have as their only non-zero components

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} W_{22}^{1}=\frac{9}{16}, \quad{ }_{0} W_{12,2}^{1}={ }_{0} W_{22,1}^{1}=\frac{9}{32} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $x_{1}=0$ the combined zeroth-order field gives the following velocity-gradient distribution for ${ }_{0} V_{j 2,1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} V_{12,1}=0, \quad{ }_{0} V_{22,1}=6 \alpha x_{2}^{2} \bar{R}^{-5}, \quad{ }_{0} V_{32,1}=6 \alpha x_{2} x_{3} \bar{R}^{-5} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2. First-order field of $V_{j 2}$

The algebraic detail required to calculate this first-order perturbation field (as well as the subsequent second-order field of $V_{j 2}$ ), though straightforward in principle, proved quite tedious in execution. Indeed, the calculations were virtually an order of magnitude less tractable, and the resulting algebraic formulas concomitantly more unwieldy, than those required for the more symmetrical (indeed axisymmetrical) fields $V_{j 1}$, for which the Stokeslet was oriented normal to the wall. To avoid presenting these excessively long formulas, we will therefore suppress all algebraic detail, proceeding directly to a summary of the final results obtained.

After establishing that $\psi_{32}=0$, and obtaining the non-zero expressions for the remaining potential functions $\psi_{\lambda 2}(\lambda=0,1,2)$ satisfying the requisite boundary conditions, it was found that at $(-1,0,0)$ the only non-zero components of ${ }_{1} W_{j 2}$ and ${ }_{1} W_{j 2, l}$ were respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} W_{22}=\frac{81}{128} \quad \text { and } \quad{ }_{1} W_{12,2}=\frac{27}{64} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.3. Second-order field of $V_{j 2}$

Again, $\psi_{32}=0$ was the only potential function of the four $\psi_{\lambda 2}$ that was eliminated from further consideration. This eventually resulted in the following non-zero components of ${ }_{2} W_{j 2}$ and ${ }_{2} W_{j 2, l}$ at $(-1,0,0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} W_{22}=\frac{477}{512}, \quad{ }_{2} W_{22,1}=-\frac{441}{1024}, \quad{ }_{2} W_{12,2}=\frac{63}{1024} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3. Solutions for $V_{j 3}$

### 3.3.1. Zeroth-order field of $V_{j 3}$

Using (3.1), the fundamental field ${ }_{0} V_{j 3}^{0}$ of an unbounded Stokeslet situated at $(-1,0,0)$ and oriented in the $x_{3}$ direction admits the potential functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{03}=0, \quad \psi_{j 3}=\frac{\delta_{j 2} \alpha}{R} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In turn, the reflection of this field from the plane $x_{1}=0$ is the field arising from the potential functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{03}=\psi_{23}=0, \quad \psi_{13}=\frac{-2 \alpha x_{3}}{R^{\prime 3}}, \quad \psi_{33}=\frac{-\alpha}{R^{\prime}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Resulting non-zero components of the wall-effect dyadic at ( $-1,0,0$ ) engendered by (3.24) and its gradients are

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} W_{33}^{1}=\frac{\theta}{16}, \quad{ }_{0} W_{13,3}={ }_{0} W_{33,1}^{1}=\frac{\theta}{32} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $x_{1}=0$ the velocity-gradient distribution ${ }_{0} V_{j 2,1}$ of the combined zeroth order fields is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} V_{13,1}=0, \quad{ }_{0} V_{23,1}=6 \alpha x_{2} x_{3} \bar{R}^{-5}, \quad{ }_{0} V_{33,1}=6 \alpha x_{3}^{2} \bar{R}^{-5} . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.2. First-order field of $V_{j 3}$

Here again, all algebraic details will be suppressed in the interests of brevity. All of the $\psi_{\lambda 3}(\lambda=0,1,2,3$ are here required to satisfy the boundary conditions required of $V_{13}, V_{23}$ and $V_{33}$. At $(-1,0,0)$ the wall-effect dyadic and its gradient are found to possess the following non-zero components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} W_{33}=\frac{87}{128}, \quad{ }_{1} W_{13,3}=\frac{9}{64}, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

all other components being zero.

### 3.3.3. Second-order field of $V_{j 3}$

The boundary conditions demanded of ${ }_{2} V_{j 3}$ require all four potential functions $\psi_{\lambda 3}$. Resulting non-zero wall-effect coefficients eventually obtained upon evaluation at $(-1,0,0)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} W_{33}=\frac{153}{512}, \quad{ }_{2} W_{13,3}=\frac{99}{1024}, \quad{ }_{2} W_{33,1}=-\frac{81}{512} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Results and discussion

It follows from the results of $\S 3$ that the wall-effect tensors $W_{j k}$ and $W_{j k, l}$ of Hirschfeld et al. (1984) can be expressed asymptotically, either in the respective forms
and

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{j k}={ }_{0} C_{j k} \gamma^{-1}+{ }_{1} C_{j k}+{ }_{2} C_{j k} \gamma+O\left(\gamma^{2}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
W_{j k, l}={ }_{0} D_{j k l} \gamma^{-2}+{ }_{1} D_{j k l} \gamma^{-1}+{ }_{2} D_{j k l}+O(\gamma), \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

or, since $\gamma=1-\beta$, in the equivalent forms cited in the Abstract. Coefficients ${ }_{n} C_{j k}$ and ${ }_{n} D_{j k l}(n=0,1,2)$ are tabulated as the rational fractions appearing in tables 1 and 2 respectively. Coefficients failing to appear explicitly in these tables are identically zero.

Tables 1 and 2 have been used to compute values of the non-zero components of $W_{j k}$ and $W_{j k, l}$ in the range $0.90 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 0.99$. These approximate asymptotic values

$\dagger$ Preliminary announcements (Hirschfeld et al. 1984 ; Brenner 1984) of present results incorrectly give the ${ }_{2} C_{3 a}$ coefficient as $\frac{77}{256}$, rather than as the correct value of $\frac{153}{512}$ here tabulated.

Table 1. Coefficients ${ }_{n} C_{j k}$ for use in (4.1) from $n=0, \ldots, 2$. For $j \neq k, n C_{j k}=0$.

|  | ${ }^{3} D_{j k l}$ |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| $n$ | $n$ |  |  |
| $j k l$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 111 | $\frac{9}{16}$ | 0 | $-\frac{27}{512}$ |
| 212 | $-\frac{9}{32}$ | $\frac{9}{64}$ | $\frac{163}{1024}$ |
| 221 | $\frac{9}{32}$ | 0 | $-\frac{441}{1024}$ |
| 122 | $\frac{9}{32}$ | $\frac{27}{64}$ | $\frac{83}{1024}$ |
| 133 | $\frac{9}{32}$ | $\frac{9}{64}$ | $\frac{99}{1024}$ |
| 313 | $-\frac{9}{32}$ | $-\frac{9}{64}$ | $-\frac{99}{1024}$ |
| 331 | $\frac{9}{32}$ | 0 | $-\frac{81}{512}$ |

Table 2. Coefficients ${ }_{n} D_{j k l}$ for use in (4.2) from $n=0, \ldots, 2$. All components of ${ }_{n} D_{j k l}$ that do not appear explicitly are zero-valued.
are compared in table 3 with the 'exact' numerical values tabulated by Hirschfeld et al., the latter of which are believed to be correct to the last significant figure shown. Agreement is quite good, especially at those $\beta$-values closest to unity. Further improvement in accuracy of the asymptotic wall-effect values would require computation of higher-order terms in $n$ - an algebraically very tedious and lengthy exercise.

Hirschfeld et al. demonstrated that the wall-effect tensors possess the properties

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{j k, j}=0  \tag{4.3}\\
W_{j k, 3}=-W_{k j, 3} . \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

These relations are obviously consistent with the individual coefficient values explicitly and implicitly tabulated in tables 1 and 2, and separately consistent for each $n$-value of ${ }_{n} C_{j k}$ and ${ }_{n} D_{j k l}$.

### 4.1. The region external to a cylinder

The utility of knowledge of $W_{j k}$ and $W_{j k, l}$ versus $\beta$ in calculating wall effects to $O\left(\kappa^{2}\right)$ in the interior of a circular cylinder has been thoroughly discussed by Hirschfeld et al. (1984). Additionally, it should be mentioned that (4.1) and (4.2)-together with the coefficients tabulated in tables 1 and 2 -simultaneously provide the appropriate Stokeslet wall-effect tensors for a particle located in the infinite fluid-filled space external to a solid circular cylinder, provided that $\gamma(=1-\beta)$ is replaced by $-\gamma$ ( $=\beta-1$ ) in (4.1) and (4.2), and that the ${ }_{n} D_{j k l}$ values for the external case are taken to be opposite in algebraic sign from those tabulated in table 2. This appears to be the first instance in which such 'exterior' wall effects have been addressed.

| $\beta$ | (a) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | asymptotic approximation (4.1) | 'exact' value | asymptotic approximation (4.1) | 'exact' <br> value | asymptotic approximation (4.1) | 'exact' value |
| 9.90 | 11.5418 | 11.5419 | 6.351 | 6.343 | 5.87 | 5.91 |
| 0.91 | 12.79074 | 12.79080 | 6.967 | 6.961 | 6.49 | 6.52 |
| 0.92 | 14.35219 | 14.35224 | 7.739 | 7.734 | 7.27 | 7.30 |
| 0.93 | 16.36006 | 16.36008 | 8.734 | 8.730 | 8.27 | 8.29 |
| 0.94 | 19.03758 | 19.03759 | 10.064 | 10.061 | 9.60 | 9.62 |
| 0.95 | 22.786523 | 22.786530 | 11.929 | 11.927 | 11.476 | 11.488 |
| 0.96 | 28.410469 | 28.410471 | 14.733 | 14.731 | 14.285 | 14.293 |
| 0.97 | 37.784414 | 37.784415 | 19.4107 | 19.4100 | 18.969 | 18.974 |
| 0.98 | 56.533359 | 56.533360 | 28.7764 | 28.7761 | 28.3419 | 28.3441 |
| 0.99 | 112.78230 | 112.78232 | 56.8921 | 56.8920 | 56.4639 | 56.4645 |

(b)

|  | $W_{11,1}$ |  | $W_{21.2}$ |  | $W_{22,1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | asymptotic approximation (4.2) | 'exact' <br> value | asymptotic approximation (4.2) | 'exact' value | asymptotic approximation (4.2) | 'exact' <br> value |
| 0.90 | 56.1972 | 56.1957 | -26.569 | -26.567 | 27.69 | 27.72 |
| 0.91 | 69.3917 | 69.3905 | -33.010 | -33.008 | 34.29 | 34.32 |
| 0.92 | 87.8379 | 87.8370 | -42.038 | -42.036 | 43.514 | 43.534 |
| 0.93 | 114.7432 | 114.7426 | -55.240 | -55.237 | 56.967 | 56.982 |
| 0.94 | 156.1973 | 156.1969 | -75.632 | -75.630 | 77.694 | 77.703 |
| 0.95 | 224.9473 | 224.9470 | -109.5381 | -109.5364 | 112.069 | 112.072 |
| 0.96 | 351.50976 | 351.50964 | -172.1162 | -172.1148 | 175.351 | 175.347 |
| 0.97 | 624.94726 | 624.94721 | -307.6631 | -307.6620 | 312.07 | 312.06 |
| 0.98 | 1406.1973 | 1406.1973 | -695.9443 | -695.9436 | 702.69 | 702.68 |
| 0.99 | 5624.9472 | 5624.9491 | -2798.2881 | -2798.2899 | 2812.07 | 2812.04 |


|  | $W_{12,2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | asymptotic <br> approximation <br> $\beta$ | 'exact' |
| $\beta$ | $(4.2)$ | value |
| 0.90 | 32.41 | 32.34 |
| 0.91 | 39.47 | 39.41 |
| 0.92 | 49.28 | 49.23 |
| 0.93 | 63.49 | 63.44 |
| 0.94 | 85.22 | 85.18 |
| 0.95 | 121.00 | 120.97 |
| 0.96 | 186.39 | 186.36 |
| 0.97 | 326.62 | 326.60 |
| 0.98 | 724.280 | 724.267 |
| 0.99 | 2854.749 | 2854.743 |


| $W_{13,3}=-W_{31,3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| asymptotic <br> approximation | 'exact' |
| $(4.2)$ | value |
| 29.6279 | 29.6291 |
| 36.3814 | 36.3828 |
| 45.7998 | $\mathbf{4 5 . 8 0 1 3}$ |
| 59.5036 | 59.5051 |
| 80.5654 | 80.5670 |
| 115.4092 | 115.4106 |
| 179.3934 | 179.3498 |
| 317.2842 | 317.2852 |
| 710.2529 | $\mathbf{7 1 0 . 2 5 3 6}$ |
| 2826.6592 | 2826.6593 |


| $W_{33,1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| asymptotic <br> approximation <br> $(4.2)$ | 'exact' |
| value |  |
| 28.0 | 27.6 |
| 34.5 | 34.2 |
| 43.8 | 43.5 |
| 57.2 | 56.9 |
| 78.0 | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7}$ |
| 112.34 | 112.12 |
| 175.62 | 175.43 |
| 312.34 | 312.20 |
| 702.97 | 702.87 |
| 2812.34 | 2812.29 |

Table 3. Comparison of asymptotic values derived (a) from (4.1) and (b) from (4.2) with 'exact' values taken from Hirschfeld et al. (1984) in the range $0.90 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 0.99$.


Figure 2. Stokeslet singularity $S$ near the boundary of a non-circular cylinder.

### 4.2. Non-circular cylinders

Minor modifications permit the present scheme to be adapted to the case of asymptotic wall effects for cylinders of non-circular cross-section. In this context we consider the cylinder whose cross-section in the plane $z=$ const is bounded by the generator

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=f(x) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is some analytic function. Here $(x, y, z)$ denote a rectangular system of (dimensional) Cartesian coordinates with origin at $O$ in figure 2. It will be assumed henceforth that the cylinder boundary (4.5) is closed, though easily achieved circumstances exist in which the subsequent theory remains valid even if this is not the case.

Let $S \equiv\left(x_{S}, y_{S}\right)\left(\right.$ with $\left.z_{S}=0\right)$ denote the position of the Stokeslet, and $P \equiv\left(x_{P}, y_{P}\right)$ that point on the boundary (4.5) lying nearest to $S$. Specifically, $x_{P}$ is that value of $x$ (within the domain of variation of $x$ over the curve (4.5)) that minimizes the distance function

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(x)|=\left\{\left(x-x_{S}\right)^{2}+\left[f(x)-y_{S}\right]^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, for a prescribed $S$ and cylinder-generating function $f(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h\left(x_{P}\right)\right|=\left|h_{P}\right|, \text { say },=\min |h(x)| . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding to this value of $x_{P}$ is the magnitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{P}\right|=\left\{\left(x_{P}-x_{S}\right)^{2}+\left[f\left(x_{P}\right)-y_{S}\right]^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the distance of the Stokeslet from the eylinder wall. (Subsequently we will assign an algebraic sign to $h_{P \cdot}$.) Naturally, as indicated in figure 2, the line segment $S P$ intersects the boundary curve (4.5) orthogonally.

Provided that $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{P}\right) \neq 0$, the magnitude $R_{P}$ of the local radius of curvature of the cylinder at $P$ is given by the well-known expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{P}=\frac{\left\{1+\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{P}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{P}\right)\right|} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This radius will always be taken to be a non-negative-definite quantity. The centre of curvature $C \equiv\left(x_{C}, y_{C}\right)$ is situated at the point

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{C}=x_{P}+\left(x_{S}-x_{P}\right) \gamma^{-1},  \tag{4.10a}\\
& y_{C}=y_{P}+\left(y_{S}-y_{P}\right) \gamma^{-1}, \tag{4.10b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the algebraically signed scalar

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{h_{P}}{R_{P}} \quad(|\gamma| \ll 1) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Point $C$ lies on the concave side of the generator at $P$. In figure 2, points $P, S$ and $C$ all lie along the same straight line. Our implicit convention regarding the algebraically signed scalar $h_{P}$ is that $h_{P}>0$ when $S$ in figure 2 lies on the concave side of the generator at $P$, and conversely; that is, $h_{P}$ is respectively positive or negative according as the Stokeslet is 'internal' or 'external' to the cylinder.

It will prove convenient to simultaneously rotate, translate and non-dimensionalize the $(x, y)$-coordinate system to produce a new Cartesian system ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) defined by the linear transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& -x_{1}=\frac{\left(x-x_{P}\right)\left(x_{S}-x_{P}\right)+\left(y-y_{P}\right)\left(y_{S}-y_{P}\right)}{\left(x_{S}-x_{P}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{S}-y_{P}\right)^{2}}  \tag{4.12a}\\
& -x_{2}=\frac{\left(x-x_{P}\right)\left(y_{S}-y_{P}\right)-\left(y-y_{P}\right)\left(x_{S}-x_{P}\right)}{\left(x_{S}-x_{P}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{S}-y_{P}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

(The denominator of each of the above terms is $h_{P}^{2}$. They are here written out explicitly so that subsequent cancellation with comparable terms arising in the numerators will be evident.) Locations of pertinent points in the ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ )-system are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \equiv(0,0), \quad S \equiv(-1,0), \quad C \equiv\left(-\gamma^{-1}, 0\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this Cartesian system the locations of $P, S$ and $C$ are now the same as for the circular-cylinder ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ )-case in §2. Indeed, it was to achieve precisely this equivalence that motivated the coordinate transformation (4.12).

In this dimensionless Cartesian system the equation of the circle of curvature that is tangent to the generating curve at $P$ and is centred at $C$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}-\gamma^{-1}\right)^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=\gamma^{-2} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly as in the case of the circular cylinder (cf. (2.5)). As follows from the definition of the circle of curvature, to terms of lowest orders in $\gamma$ the local portion of the cylinder-generator curve (4.5) immediately proximate to $P$ necessarily coincides with the small $-\gamma \mid$ series expansion of (4.14); that is, the cylinder surface is locally described to terms of the first order in $\gamma$ by the equation (cf. (2.11a))

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \sim-\frac{1}{2} \gamma x_{2}^{2}+O\left(\gamma^{2}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly as in the circular-cylinder case.
Since the fluid-velocity field is required to satisfy the boundary condition $v=0$ on the surface (4.5), it follows immediately from our prior analysis of the comparable circular-cylinder case that the zeroth- and first-order wall-effect tensors ${ }_{0} \boldsymbol{W}$ and ${ }_{1} \boldsymbol{W}$


Figure 3. Stokeslet singularity $S$ near the boundary of an elliptic cylinder of semiaxes $a$ and $b$.
for the non-circular-cylinder case are given quite generally by precisely the same formulas as for the circular cylinder; explicitly (cf. (4.1)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{j k}={ }_{0} C_{j k} \gamma^{-1}+{ }_{1} C_{j k}+O(\gamma) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{j k, l}={ }_{0} D_{j k l} \gamma^{-2}+{ }_{1} D_{j k l} \gamma^{-1}+O(1) . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\gamma$ is given for the non-circular case by (4.11), whereas the required four numerical tensors ${ }_{0} C_{j k}, \ldots,{ }_{1} D_{j k l}$ are those given in tables 1 and 2 (cf. the remarks at the end of $\S 4.1$ when $\gamma<0$, i.e. when the Stokeslet $S$ shown in figure 2 lies instead on the convex side of the curve at $P$, corresponding to wall effects when the Stokeslet lies in the region external to the non-circular cylinder). Calculation of the higher-order wall-effect tensor ${ }_{2} W$ in the preceding expression, though straightforward in principle, would call for extraordinary algebraic effort involving taking account of the 'curvature of the curvature'.

The non-dimensional wall-effect formulas (4.16) and (4.17) permit immediate calculation (Hirschfeld et al. 1984) of the Stokes force $\boldsymbol{F}$ and torque $\boldsymbol{G}_{S}$ on a particle moving in close proximity to a non-circular cylindrical boundary. In using the Hirschfeld et al. formulas for $\boldsymbol{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_{S}$, it is necessary to use our radius of curvature $R_{P}$ in place of their circular-cylindrical radius $R_{0}$.

By way of example, we consider the elliptic cylinder (figure 3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the functional dependence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)= \pm\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)\left(a^{2}-x^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (4.5). For definiteness the Stokeslet will be supposed to lie along the $x$-axis at the point $\left(x_{S}, y_{S}\right)=(c, 0)$ with $c>0, \dagger$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a-c| / a \ll 1 ; \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

the latter assures proximity of the Stokeslet to the wall. Moreover, again for definiteness, it will be further supposed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a / b \leqslant 1 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present example (4.6) becomes

$$
h^{2}(x)=(x-c)^{2}+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}\left(a^{2}-x^{2}\right)
$$

Since points $x$ lying on the boundary of the ellipse span the region $-a \leqslant x \leqslant a$, and given the inequality (4.21), the function $|h(x)|$ attains its minimum value at the endpoint $x=a$. Hence $\left(x_{P}, y_{P}\right)=(a, 0)$, whence, from (4.8), $h_{P}=a-c-$ the algebraic sign having been chosen in accord with our concave/convex convention for the Stokeslet location. Straightforward calculation via (4.9) of the radius of curvature at $P$ gives $R_{P}=b^{2} / a$. (In view of the assumed inequality (4.21), this makes $R_{P} \geqslant b \geqslant a$, with equality holding only for the circular-cylindrical case $a=b$. Thus, as shown in figure 3, $R_{P}$ exceeds both $b$ and $a$ in magnitude.) $\ddagger$ Hence, from (4.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \frac{a-c}{a} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the elliptic-cylinder case. Given the inequalities (4.20) and (4.21), it is indeed true in present circumstances that $|\gamma| \ll 1$. Use of (4.22) in (4.16) and (4.17) furnishes the lower-order wall-effect tensors for both the internal and external elliptic-cylinder cases.

This work was begun while A.F. was on sabbatical leave from the University of Lagos. He is grateful to the latter for support as well as to MIT for providing a hospitable environment during his stay there.
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